

# Department of Natural Resources

MICHAEL R. STYLER
Executive Director

# Division of Oil, Gas & Mining

JOHN R. BAZA Division Director JON M. HUNTSMAN, JR. Governor

GARY R. HERBERT Lieutenant Governor

May 11, 2005

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT 7002 0510 0003 8602 8796

Gary E. Gray, Resident Agent West Ridge Resources, Inc. P.O. Box 1077 Price, Utah 84501

Subject: <u>Proposed Assessment for State Violation No. N05-39-1-1, West Ridge</u> Resources, Inc., West Ridge Mine, C/007/0041, Outgoing File

Dear Mr. Gray:

The undersigned has been appointed by the Division of Oil, Gas & Mining as the Assessment Officer for assessing penalties under R645-401.

Enclosed is the proposed civil penalty assessment for the above referenced violation. The violation was issued by Division Inspector, Stephen J. Demczak, on April 6, 2005. Rule R645-401-600 et. seq. has been utilized to formulate the proposed penalty. By these rules, any written information that was submitted by you or your agent within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this Notice of Violation has been considered in determining the facts surrounding the violation and the amount of penalty.

Under R645-401-700, there are two informal appeal options available to you:

- 1. If you wish to informally appeal the <u>fact of this violation</u>, you should file a written request for an Informal Conference within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter. This conference will be conducted by the Division Director. This Informal Conference is distinct from the Assessment Conference regarding the proposed penalty.
- 2. If you wish to review the proposed penalty assessment, you should file a written request for an Assessment Conference within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter. If you are also requesting a review of the fact of violation, as noted in paragraph 1, the Assessment Conference will be scheduled immediately following that review.

Page 2 Gary E. Gray May 11, 2005

If a timely request for review is not made, the fact of violation will stand, the proposed penalty will become final, and the penalty will be due and payable within thirty (30) days of the proposed assessment. Please remit payment to the Division, mail c/o Vickie Southwick.

Sincerely,

D. Wayne Hedberg Assessment Officer

Enclosure

cc: OSM Compliance Report Vickie Southwick, DOGM Price Field Office

 $O: \label{lem:compliance} O: \label{lem:co$ 

# WORKSHEET FOR ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES DIVISION OF OIL, GAS & MINING

COMP	PANY /	MINE	West Ridge	Resour	ces / West Ridge N	<u>Mine</u>			
PERM	IT <u>C/0</u>	007/004	<u>1</u> NOV /	'CO#	N05-39-1-1	VIOL	ATION <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>		
			E <u>May 4, 20</u> ICER <u>D. Wa</u>		dhera				
ASSE	SOME	(I OFF)	ICER <u>D. wa</u>	yne me	dueig				
I.	HISTO	HISTORY (Max. 25 pts.)							
	A. Are there previous violations, which are not pending or vacated, which fall one (1) year of today's date?								
	PREV	IOUS V	VIOLATIONS 1		EFFECTIVE DA	TE	POINTS		
				_					
			5 points for ea	ich past	past violation, up to one (1) year past violation in a CO, up to one (1) year ces shall be counted				
					TO	OTAL HIS	TORY POINTS 0		
II.	<b>SERIOUSNESS</b> (Either A or B)								
	NOTE	:	For assignment of points in Parts II and III, the following apply:						
		1.	Based on facts supplied by the inspector, the Assessment Officer will determine within each category where the violation falls.						
		2. Beginning at the mid-point of the category, the Assessment Officer will adjust the points up or down, utilizing the inspector's and operator's statements as guiding documents.							
		Is this	s an EVENT (A) or HINDRANCE (B) violation? Hindrance (B)						
	A.	EVEN	T VIOLATION	N (Max	x 45 pts.)				

1.

What is the event that the violated standard was designed to prevent?

2. What is the probability of the occurrence of the event that a violated standard was designed to prevent?

<b>PROBABILITY</b>	<b>RANGE</b>
None	0
Unlikely	1-9
Likely	10-19
Occurred	20

## ASSIGN PROBABILITY OF OCCURRENCE POINTS 0

#### PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

\*\*\*Not Applicable

3. What is the extent of actual or potential damage?

**RANGE 0-25** 

In assigning points, consider the duration and extent of said damage or impact, in terms of area and impact on the public or environment.

ASSIGN DAMAGE POINTS 0

#### PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

\*\*\*Not Applicable

- B. <u>HINDRANCE VIOLATION</u> (Max 25 pts.)
  - 1. Is this a POTENTIAL or ACTUAL hindrance to enforcement? <u>16</u>
    RANGE 0-25

Assign points based on the extent to which enforcement is actually or potentially hindered by the violation.

ASSIGN HINDRANCE POINTS <u>16</u>

#### PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

\*\*\*The permittee did not provide email notification to the Division to download the 4<sup>th</sup> quarter water monitoring data by April 1, 2005, as required by the coal rules and permit. This prevented/hindered the Division's assigned hydrologist from reviewing the information in a timely manner.

TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS (A or B) 16

#### III. <u>NEGLIGENCE</u> (Max 30 pts.)

A. Was this an inadvertent violation that was unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable care? IF SO--NO NEGLIGENCE; or, was this a failure of a permittee to prevent the occurrence of a violation due to indifference lack of diligence, or

lack of reasonable care, or the failure to abate any violation due to the same? IF SO--GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT THAN NEGLIGENCE.

No Negligence 0
Negligence 1-15
Greater Degree of Fault 16-30

STATE DEGREE OF NEGLIGENCE <u>Greater Degree</u>

### ASSIGN NEGLIGENCE POINTS 16

#### PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

\*\*\*The Permittee had sufficient time and received an advance reminder from the Division (via emailed notice) to get the required information in on time. Quarterly water monitoring information using the electronic data base system is a stipulation of the approved mine permit and a requirement of the R645 Coal Rules.

# IV. GOOD FAITH (Max 20 pts.)

(Either A or B)

(Does not apply to violations requiring no abatement measures)

A. Did the operator have onsite, the resources necessary to achieve compliance of the violated standard within the permit area?

IF SO--EASY ABATEMENT

Easy Abatement Situation

C Immediate Compliance -11 to -20\*

(Immediately following the issuance of the NOV)

C Rapid Compliance -1 to -10

(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)

C Normal Compliance 0

(Operator complied within the abatement period required)

(Operator complied with condition and/or terms of

approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

B. Did the permittee not have the resources at hand to achieve compliance, or does the situation require the submission of plans prior to physical activity to achieve compliance?

IF SO--DIFFICULT ABATEMENT

Difficult Abatement Situation

C Rapid Compliance -11 to -20\*

<sup>\*</sup>Assign in upper of lower half of range depending on abatement occurring the 1st or 2nd half of abatement period.

(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)

C Normal Compliance -1 to -10\*
(Operator complied within the abatement period required)

C Extended Compliance 0
(Permittee took minimal actions for abatement to stay within the limits of the NOV or the violated standard of the

within the limits of the NOV or the violated standard of the plan submitted for abatement was incomplete)
(Permittee complied with conditions and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

EASY OR DIFFICULT ABATEMENT? <u>Easy (A)</u>

ASSIGN GOOD FAITH POINTS \_\_-10\_

#### PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

\*\*\*The Permittee was given 10 days to abate the violation. Abatement was achieved in about half that time frame.

#### V. <u>ASSESSMENT SUMMARY</u>

NOT	ICE OF VIOLATION # N 05-39-1-1	_
I.	TOTAL HISTORY POINTS	0
II.	TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS	<u> 16</u>
III.	TOTAL NEGLIGENCE POINTS	16
IV.	TOTAL GOOD FAITH POINTS	-10
	TOTAL ASSESSED POINTS	22
	TOTAL ASSESSED FINE	\$ 484